



Independent Assurance Report

To the Management of Furuya Metal Co., Ltd.

We were engaged by Furuya Metal Co., Ltd. (the “Company”) to undertake a limited assurance engagement of its LPPM Responsible Platinum and Palladium Guidance Compliance Report (the “Compliance Report”) for the year ended 30 June 2021, including Country of Origin Annex. The assurance scope consists of the Company’s Compliance Report.

The Company’s responsibility

The management of the Company is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of its Compliance Report in accordance with the requirements of the LPPM Responsible Platinum/Palladium Guidance (the “Guidance”). This responsibility includes establishing appropriate risk management and internal controls from which the reported information is derived. The criteria identified by the management as relevant for demonstrating compliance with the Guidance are the activities described within the Company’s Compliance Report.

Our responsibility

Our responsibility is to carry out a limited assurance engagement in order to express a conclusion based on the work performed. We conducted our assurance engagement in accordance with International Standard on Assurance Engagements ISAE 3000 Assurance Engagements other than Audits or Reviews of Historical Financial Information issued by the International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board and the guidance set out in the LPPM Responsible Sourcing Programme - Third Party Audit Guidance (the “Audit Guidance”).

The extent of evidence-gathering procedures performed in a limited assurance engagement is less than that for a reasonable assurance engagement, and therefore a lower level of assurance is provided.

This report has been prepared for the Company for the purpose of assisting the management in determining whether the Company has complied with the Guidance and for no other purpose. Our assurance report is made solely to the Company in accordance with the terms of our engagement. We do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Company for our work, or for the conclusions we have reached in the assurance report.

We planned and performed our work to obtain all the evidence, information and explanations considered necessary in relation to the above scope. These procedures included:

- Inquiring management to gain an understanding of the Company’s processes and risk management protocols in place
- Inquiring relevant staff responsible for the preparation of the Compliance Report
- Conducting alternative procedures to a site visit to the Tsukuba Plant, consisting of making inquiries, reviewing materials including documented evidence, and interviewing relevant personnel remotely using a video conference platform, without affecting the assurance conclusion
- Assessing the suitability of the policies, procedures and internal controls that the Company has in place to conform to the Guidance
- Reviewing a selection of the supporting documentation, including platinum and palladium supplier counterparty due diligence file and transaction’s documentation
- Testing a selection of the underlying processes and controls that support the information in the Compliance Report
- Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the preparation of the amount of platinum and palladium procured by country of origin.
- Reviewing the presentation of the Compliance Report to ensure consistency with our findings



Inherent limitations

Non-financial information, such as that included in the Company's Compliance Report, is subject to more inherent limitations than financial information, given the more qualitative characteristics of the subject matter and the methods used for determining such information. The methods used by Refiners to comply with the Guidance may differ. It is important to read the Company's Furuya Metal Responsible Minerals Procurement Policy available on the Company's website: www.furuyametals.co.jp/english/strength/strength02.html.

Conclusion

Based on the limited assurance procedures performed, as described above, nothing has come to our attention that would lead us to believe that the Company's Compliance Report for the year ended 30 June 2021 does not, in all material respects, describe fairly the activities undertaken during the year to demonstrate compliance, and management's overall conclusion contained therein is not in accordance with the requirements of the Guidance.

We conducted alternative procedures to a site visit to the Tsukuba Plant, consisting of making inquiries, reviewing materials including documented evidence, and interviewing relevant personnel remotely using a video conference platform. This had no impact on the assurance opinion.

Emphasis of matter

Without modifying our conclusion, we draw attention to the description of Steps 1 and 2 in the Company's Compliance Report, which essentially states that the Company did not comply with:

- Step 1: Establish strong management systems; and
- Step 2: Identify and assess risks in the supply chain

Independence, quality control and competency statement

We have complied with the Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants, which includes independence and other requirements founded on fundamental principles of integrity, objectivity, professional competence and due care, confidentiality and professional behavior. In accordance with International Standard on Quality Control 1, we maintain a comprehensive system of quality control including documented policies and procedures regarding compliance with ethical requirements, professional standards and applicable legal and regulatory requirements.

In conducting our engagement, we confirm that we satisfy the criteria for assurance providers as set out in the Audit Guidance to carry out the assurance engagement.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

KPMG AZSA Sustainability Co., Ltd.

Tokyo, Japan

28 September 2021